UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 96-7120

STANLEY SHANE SMITH,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

FRANKLIN FREEMAN, Secretary of Correction; LYNN PHILLIPS, Director, Division of Prisons; GRANT M. SPICER, Western Area Administrator; REGGIE WEISNER, Assistant Western Area Administrator; FINESSE G. COUCH, Executive Direc-Inmate Grievance Committee; PHYLLIS ELLIOTT. Mental Health and Disabilities Coordinator; MARCUS HUGHES, Superintendent, Craggy Correctional Center; WADE HATLEY, Craggy Correctional Center; MARGIE LAWLER, Assistant Superintendent, Craggy Correctional Center; BILL CRESON, Inmate Special Needs Case Worker, Craggy Correctional Center; LINDA PLESS, Inmate Special Needs Case Worker, Craggy Correctional Center; CAROLINA VENCE, Inmate Case Worker, Craggy Correctional Center; JACOB MORROW, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; LEWIS DAVIS, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; MIKE BALL, Lieutenant, Craqqy Correctional Center; HARRY RHODES, Lieutenant, Craggy Correctional Center; KEVIN BURRESS, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; KEITH RICE, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; JOHN WILSON, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; BILL SHOOK, Sergeant, Craggy Correctional Center; LARRY THURSTON, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center; REGGIE DUNSTON, Correctional Officer; GARRY HAUG, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center; DONALD SHEPERD, Correctional Officer, Craggy Correctional Center,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, at Asheville. Graham C. Mullen, District Judge. (CA-95-32-1-MU)

Submitted: November 6, 1997 Decided: November 18, 1997

Before WIDENER and LUTTIG, Circuit Judges, and BUTZNER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Stanley Shane Smith, Appellant Pro Se. Elizabeth F. Parsons, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NORTH CAROLINA, Raleigh, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's failure to rule on his motion for a preliminary injunction or temporary restraining order. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction, because Appellant has not appealed an order of the district court. This court may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (1994), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (1994); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541 (1949). Appellant has not appealed either a final order or an appealable interlocutory or collateral order.

We grant Appellees' motion to dismiss and dismiss the appeal as interlocutory. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED